

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/863,299	SASAKI, TOYONORI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael P Nghiem	2863	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael P Nghiem.

(3) HIROYUKI NIWA

(2) Scott Schulte.

(4) TAKASHI ITO

Date of Interview: 27 October 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 53 and 66.

Identification of prior art discussed: Shinada (US 5,790,158), Ceschin (US 5,489,932).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

1. Amending claim 1 to recite that the pressure reduction hole ~~communicates~~ directly communicates with the first chamber would overcome Shinada
2. Amending claim 53 to recite that "a part of the path is above a lower surface of the cover" would overcome Ceschin
3. The definition regarding the "intersection line" of claim 66 between the upper case surface and partition wall was discussed but failed to reach an agreement.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action:


Examiner's signature, if required